**THE HONOURABLE SHRI JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY**

**WRIT PETITION No. 17447 OF 2006**

**Dated 8th February, 2007**

Between:

Syed Muneeruddin

... Petitioner

And

The Registrar, Osmania University,
Hyderabad and others.

... Respondents.

**ORAL ORDER:**

The petitioner herein while working as a Junior Assistant, has taken admission into the first year of 3-Years Law degree course in the evening session in 4th respondent-college, which is affiliated to the Osmania University. The petitioner has questioned the memo dated 4.4.2006 issued by the third respondent in this writ petition.

The petitioner had joined the 3-Years Law Course in the year 1994-95 and had passed the first year examinations in the year 1995. Thereafter, he discontinued and after a gap of about 10 years, he made an application on 14.2.2006 requesting to allow him to continue the course and complete the same. On considering the said application, the impugned memo is issued rejecting the request of the petitioner and stating that he is not eligible to complete the course after the gap of 10 years.

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that as the petitioner was under treatment for the disease of SCHRIZOPHRENEA, he could not continue the course. Therefore directions are sought for permitting the petitioner to complete the course.

As per the academic regulations of the University, the course has to be completed within double the duration of the period of course i.e. as much as the LL.B. course is of three years' duration, it has to be completed within a period of six years. Further, though it is stated that the petitioner suffered with SCHRIZOPHRENEA, there is no clear material in proof of his plea that from

1995 till 2006, he was under treatment. In any event, as much as the academic regulations framed by the University permit completion of the course only within double the duration of the period of course, and the petitioner has approached nearly after 10 years, the University has rightly rejected the request of the petitioner, which is in consonance with the regulations framed by the University.

Therefore, I do not find any illegality, which warrants interference in this petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. The writ petition, which is devoid of merits, is dismissed. No costs.

8.2.2007 **R.SUBHASH REDDY, J.**

VR